Consequential Amendments

Briefing for RPS Change 1 Hearing Stream 7

15 April 2024



Submissions received

- 38 submission points, 23 further submission points, and a further 4 general submissions
- Key issues raised in submissions:
 - Consequential amendments
 - Adding an implementation deadline to Methods 1 & 2
 - Method 3 containing explicit direction for treaty partner involvement
 - Deletion of Method 5

Outstanding issues

Section 42A report recommends:

- Consequential amendments to Method 1, Method 2, and Method 4
- Amendments to Method 1 and Method 2 to include an implementation deadline
- Rejecting submission on Method 3 containing explicit direction for treaty partner involvement
- Rejecting submission on deletion of Method 5

Outstanding issues

- This topic was only addressed in evidence of Suzanne Rushmere on behalf of Upper Hutt City Council
 - Noted that further consequential amendments may be required to Methods 1, 2, and 4 pending the Panel's recommendations
 and caucusing
 - Noted minor error in Method 4, where "Wellington Regional Council" should be included in the list of "district and city councils"
 - Can be addressed through tracked change amendments in Right of Reply
- No rebuttal evidence written, as no specific relief sought
- Note minor error where Method 5 should list "local authorities" rather than "district and city councils"
 - Can be addressed through tracked change amendments in Right of Reply