WORKSHEET for handling modelling outputs in freshwater objectives work

Attributes: Phytoplankton, TN, TP, TLI, TSS, ammonia (toxicity), macrophytes

FMU type	Lakes															
Lake names	Lake Wairarapa, Lake Onoke															
	I															
1. What is the current state?	I. What is the current state?				2. What <u>must</u> happen as a minimum?		3. What does the future state look like under the scenarios?								4. What is contributing to this result?	
						mmmunr		What is the likely change under this scenario from scenario baseline to 2080? (change in grade or %								(i.e. what is the story here?)
Attribute	Value(s) to which this attribute and analysis applies		What is it	t like now?		Maintain or improve?	To what state?		Cibura	Calif	Silver + 1m	Chang Silver + Onoke	se) Silver + Onoke outlet closed + all flows of	Silver + all flows of	Silver + non- flood flows of	What are the drivers or pressures behind this?
		Monitoring	Modelling data					BAU	Silver	Gold	additional depth	outlet closed	Ruamāhanga	Ruamāhanga into Lake	Ruamāhanga into Lake	
		data	No NOF band NOF band							cioseu	Wairarapa	Wairarapa	Wairarapa			
Lake Wairarapa*			1	1			·1								<u> </u>	
Phytoplankton	-	D		с				-	\uparrow	\uparrow	-			↑	\uparrow	The reduction in TP decreased phytoplankton growth, changed NOF band
Total nitrogen		с		В				-	-	-	-			Ŷ	-	Increased concentrations of TN from diversion. Only 5 reduction in catchment loads
Total phosphorus		D		D				-	-	-	-			-	-	42% decrease in catchment load, not enough to chang and off bands
Trophic Level Index -TLI	Te Mana o Ruamāhanga - mauri, habitat, biodiversity and natural character	5.1	5.6					-	-	-	-			-	-	Combination of reduction of Chl, TN, TP and increasing clarity through scenarios
Total suspended sediment			65					-2	-3	-3	-29			-8	-12	Reduction of sediment from catchment. Less suspende sediment resuspension at 1m depth increase
Ammonia toxicity		A		A				-	-	-	-			-	-	
Macrophytes (% cover)			0.027					-	-	-	↑			-	-	More light available for phytoplankton growth due to lower suspended sediment under 1 m increase scenar
Lake Onoke]		<i>a</i>	•					•	•		•				
Phytoplankton - lake mouth open	Te Mana o Ruamāhanga - mauri, habitat, biodiversity and natural character	А		с					Ŷ		_	ſ	Ύ			The reduction in TP decreased phytoplankton growth
Phytoplankton - lake mouth closed		с						-		1	-		T			The reduction in TP decreased phytoplankton grow
Total nitrogen - lake mouth open		с		в				_	_	-	-	-	_			Only 10% in catchment loads
Total nitrogen - lake mouth closed		В														·
Total phosphorus - lake mouth open		с		D				-	1	ſ	Ŷ	Ŷ				42% decrease in catchment TP load
Total phosphorus - lake mouth closed		В		_												
ти		4.4	5.4					-	-	-	۲	\uparrow	-			Combination of reduction of Chl, TN, TP and increasing clarity through scenarios
Total suspended sediment			32					-52	-54	-54	-65	-66	-49			Less external load of sediment from catchment. Less suspended sediment resuspension at 1 m depth increa
Ammonia toxicity		А		A				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Macrophytes (% cover)			0.030					-	-	-	-	-	-			

* Lake Wairarapa Site 2 is used for monitoring data

this result?

Likely change

>1 band	1 band	No change	1 band	>1 band
worse	worse	NO change	better	better
$\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow$	\downarrow	-	\uparrow	$\uparrow\uparrow$

d phytoplankton growth,
N from diversion. Only 5%
oad, not enough to change
Chl, TN, TP and increasing
atchment. Less suspended depth increase
plankton growth due to nder 1 m increase scenario

d phytoplankton growth
P load
Chl, TN, TP and increasing
t from catchment. Less nsion at 1 m depth increase
