

Notes from Ruamāhanga Whaitua Committee follow up workshop with affected water users - 05.03.2018

Venue and time: Carterton Events Centre, 1-3PM

Attendees:

Ruamāhanga Whaitua Committee – Esther Dijkstra, Peter Gawith, Mike Birch, David Homes, Mike Ashby, Philip Palmer, Andy Duncan, Chris Laidlaw, Aidan Bichan

Project team - Paula Hammond, Al Smaill

Affected water users – 20 invited water users (those who provided written feedback to Committee)

Summary material from whiteboard

- Irrigation for stock feed
- Monitoring for Category A re-classification
- Adequate water to maintain and grow industry
- · Linking 'hard' and 'soft' changes
- Irrigation ≠ nutrient losses
- Achievable timeframes
- Large-scale stored water first and work backwards
- Work collaboratively towards a solution
- Solutions based on science
- Efficiencies to continue
- Reliable water = reliable jobs
- Range of food types to increase but market dependent
- Reliability important for the whole province
- Complex processes
- Communication
- Minimum low flows raised ok but cease takes not
- Irrigation lifts quality of life
- Don't put pressure on RWC to make decisions
- Storage (large dam)
- Aquifer recharge
- Infrastructure and river management (slowing water down)
- Efficiencies (rural and urban)
- Education
- Look for impediments that could be eased e.g. consents
- Facilitate medium sized storage

Material from each round table discussions

Sheet 1

- Importance of storage selling into it the NZ population
 - o Reliability of water
- More extreme events



- Incentives to be efficient
- Shane Jones investment into the region research/investment
- Importance of improving regional economy
- Risk of small scale storage redundant once large scale schemes done
- Incremental Change after next 10 years

Sheet 2

In next 10 years

- Monitoring:
 - o How long required to get good info?
 - Lower down catchment
 - o All 21 sub-catchments
 - O Where at now trends?
- Consents: incentivise for efficiency (e.g. soil moisture monitoring)
 - o Encourage lifting the bare i.e. bucket test
 - o Recognise capital investment longer terms
- Step down policy to encourage efficiency (some issues with definition of efficiency)
- Community involvement how much water being used? (permitted takes)
 - o Improve water quality and provide shade to reduce temperatures
- River management deeper pools, recharge aquifers
 - Oxbows hold water community ownership
- Dairy make process easier
 - International food security
- Wairarapa-wide solution
- Time match supply and demand
 - 35 years (certainty, capital investment)
 - Ruataniwha lessons famers accepted limits with promise of irrigation this didn't happen but restrictions remained

Sheet 3

- Support to achieve in 20 years
- Storage community
 - o Community buy in leaders, GW/TAs
 - o Longer term, fast track
- Storage on farm
 - o 10 years
 - Consents issues to sort out
 - o Getting water to store
- Sharing water remove impediments
- GW more receptive to new ideas and help fund them
- Category 1 definition sorted out GW cost

REQUEST – good communications from whaitua – where up to, use emails



Sheet 4

Solutions

- Timeframes 10 years +
- Flexibility around consents
- Make consents 10 years + to line up reviews
- Encourage innovative water management options e.g. buffer zones, water recharge areas
- Better understanding of aquifer
- Build a dam

Sheet 5

- Education
 - o Community awareness and expectations
 - Urban/rural divide
- Policy solutions
 - Target setting
 - Priority classification big water storage, politics, regional policy/central government
- Economic impact
 - o Urban and rural industry awareness
 - o Buy-in from community
 - o Social and mental health